UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,430	06/24/2003	David J. Nelson	01333	9642
7590 01/18/2007 Milton S. Sales Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201			EXAMINER HESS, BRUCE H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1774	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer.	10/602,430	NELSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bruce H. Hess	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12-7-06							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray							
5) Claim(s) Hib is/are allowed. 15 and 16 is/are rejected.		·					
	•	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Niam Commons	d of Doman No. (84-11 D.) . 00070400					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20070109					

Art Unit: 1774

The finality of the last Office action is withdrawn in view of the following new rejections.

Claims 4, 6-9, 11-13, 15 and 16 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 7,153,539. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the methods claimed in the aforementioned patent produce the article claimed in the present application.

Claims 4, 6-9, 11-13, 15 and 16 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-6 of copending Application No. 10/602,134. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the two marking materials in the aforementioned application are located in different areas and luminesce at different wavelengths.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

MARY EXAMINER

ROUP 1300